

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report
For CDRU # 6415A case file # HQ 95-255065.

Material Examiner: Malone (RQ)

Remarks:

Case resulted in both guilty plea(s) and trial - testimony transcript not provided.

Crm 242

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: Steve Robertson

Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and Fibers

Review commenced at: 1:45 PM (Time), 10/23/02 (Date)

File #: 95-255065

Laboratory #(s): 30429019
30606030

Examiner(s) & Symbols

| | Reviewed | Not Reviewed | Reviewed | Not Reviewed |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| <u>RQ</u> | X <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <u>UF, TH</u> | <input type="checkbox"/> | X <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <u> </u> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: Not provided-two guilty pleas and a trial

Testimony Date(s): _____ Pages: _____

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 30429019 Date: May 26, 1983
Laboratory Number: 30429019, 30606030 Date: Aug. 12, 1983
Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ and 2 unidentified technicians

Laboratory Number: 30429019
30606030

Initials: SRM

CRM 242A

Was any other material reviewed? Yes No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: submitting agency letters dated April 28, 1983 and June 1, 1983

Results of Review

File #: 95-255065 Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q1-Q36, K1, K2, K5, K6

Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?
 Yes No Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?
 Yes No Unable to Determine

Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? Yes No Unable to Determine.
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? Yes No Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? Yes No Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials: SMA

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 95-255065

#1. It cannot be determined from the notes if the tests were performed in a scientifically acceptable manner.

#2. The results are not adequately documented in the notes. The notes are not dated, are in pencil instead of ink and use abbreviations difficult to interpret. The length and the number of hair examined is not documented.

Review completed at: 2:15 PM (Time), 10/23/02 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.5 hrs.

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages.

Steve Roberts
(Signature)

Oct. 23, 2002

(Date)

Page 3 of 3

Initials: SR